

Boston 251

PRIME MINISTER



S of S circ 3.3.77
Minister of State
US of S(Army)
PUS
CGS
DUS(Army)
AUS(GS)
AUS(A/Q)(AD)
DPS(Army)

Hd of DS TO
Hd of C(MAR)
Col GS MO4
misgains

19/3.

MEETINGS BETWEEN THE ATTORNEYS GENERAL
OF THE REPUBLIC OF IRELAND AND THE
UNITED KINGDOM

This could give rise
to some comment.
Should we pursue it
or not?

14
I have read with interest the Attorney General's minute to you of 25 March about his meeting with the Irish Attorney General on 23 March when Mr. Costello raised the proceedings brought by the Irish Government to the European Court of Human Rights, and in particular the possibility of either prosecuting or taking disciplinary action against those responsible in 1971/72 for acts found by the Commission to have been in breach of Article 3.

It is my view (confirmed by Brian Faulkner before his death) that the decision to use methods of torture in Northern Ireland in 1971/72 was taken by Ministers - in particular Lord Carrington, then Secretary of State for Defence.

If at any time methods of torture are used in Northern Ireland contrary to the view of the Government of the day I would agree that individual policemen or soldiers should be prosecuted or disciplined; but in the particular circumstances of 1971/72 a political decision was taken.

I do not believe that the Irish Government understand the nature of the situation in 1971/72 - a situation which, to his credit, Mr. Heath ended.

I am copying this to member of Ill and to Sir John Hunt.

CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

MR

Generated by CamScanner